

MATCHING NLO COMPUTATIONS AND PARTON SHOWERS IN QCD ¹

STEFANO FRIXIONE

Laboratoire d'Annecy-le-Vieux de Physique de Particules
Chemin de Bellevue, BP 110, 74941 Annecy-le-Vieux CEDEX, France ²

Reliable predictions of cross sections and final-state distributions for QCD processes are a crucial ingredient in high-energy collider experiments, not only as a test of QCD but also for new particle searches. All systematic approaches to this problem are based on fixed-order (FO) results in perturbation theory, and yield (usually at the next-to-leading order, NLO) the best available results for sufficiently inclusive observables. However, in many cases a more exclusive description of final states is needed. In such cases, in which one also combines perturbative calculations with a model for the conversion of partonic final states into hadrons, Monte Carlo (MC) simulations are generally adopted. MC's operate on partonic states with high multiplicity and low relative transverse momenta, which are obtained from a parton shower or dipole cascade approximation to QCD dynamics. This has to be confronted with FO results, which can describe the complementary region of small multiplicities, and large relative transverse momenta.

The lack of large transverse momentum emissions, and the fact that total rates are computed to leading order accuracy only, are serious problems in MC simulations, especially when the CM energies are in the TeV range. These problems can be solved by a suitable combination of MC and FO methods. Given the flexibility of MC's, it is actually desirable to use some FO techniques in the framework of MC simulations. One approach (matrix element corrections) acts at the level of the generation of hard processes in the MC: $2 \rightarrow n$ processes, with $n > 2$, are given to the parton shower as initial conditions (in standard MC's, hard processes have usually a $2 \rightarrow 2$ kinematics). ME corrections therefore improve the description of large

¹Talk at XIV IFAC, 3–5 April 2002, Parma, Italy.

²On leave of absence from INFN, Sez. di Genova, Italy.

transverse momentum emissions, but results for total rates have still a LO accuracy.

A more involved approach to the problem aims at improving also the computation of total rates. There is a considerable freedom in the very definition of such an approach. Here I shall follow the formalism presented in ref. [1], denoted by MC@NLO there. A MC@NLO is required to have the following features: *a)* The output is a set of events, which are fully exclusive. *b)* Total rates are accurate to NLO. *c)* NLO results for all observables are recovered upon expansion of MC@NLO results in α_s . *d)* Hard emissions are treated as in NLO computations. *e)* Soft/collinear emissions are treated as in MC. *f)* The matching between hard- and soft-emission regions is smooth. *g)* MC hadronization models are adopted. Condition *c)* is the requirement that the MC@NLO be not affected by double counting problems. In ME corrections, double counting corresponds to generating the same kinematical configuration twice (by hard process generation, and by means of the shower). On the other hand, the presence of negative weights in the MC@NLO implies that a double counting can be due both to an excess and to a lack of emission.

The implementation of the MC@NLO formalism is technically complicated in QCD. However, it is possible to understand the basic features of the findings of ref. [1] by studying a toy model. In this model, a system can radiate massless “photons”, whose energy I denote by x , with $0 \leq x \leq x_s \leq 1$, x_s being the energy of the system before the radiation. After the radiation, the energy of the system is $x'_s = x_s - x$. The system can undergo several further emissions; on the other hand, one photon cannot split further. The NLO predictions for the mean value of an observable O (possibly including Θ functions that define an histogram bin) is

$$\langle O \rangle = \int_0^1 dx \left[O(x) \frac{aR(x)}{x} + O(0) \left(B + aV - \frac{aB}{x} \right) \right], \quad (1)$$

where a is the coupling constant, and B , V , and $R(x)$ are the Born, (finite) virtual, and real contributions to the cross section respectively. The function $O(x)$ returns the value of the observable O in the cases of real photon emission ($x \neq 0$), and of virtual photon emission or of no emission ($x = 0$). Eq. (1) is based on standard subtraction techniques for the cancellation of infrared divergences. In the toy model, a MC can be defined with the following Sudakov form factor

$$\Delta(x_1, x_2) = \exp \left[-a \int_{x_1}^{x_2} dz \frac{Q(z)}{z} \right], \quad (2)$$

where $Q(z)$ is a monotonic function, such that $0 \leq Q(z) \leq 1$, and $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} Q(z) = 1$. The form factor in eq. (2) can be used in a standard way to generate showers in which the system can emit any number of photons. Since this number is in general larger than 1, the function $O(x)$ is not sufficient to describe the observable O ; we denote the distribution in the observable O obtained from the MC by

$$I_{\text{MC}}(O, x_{\text{M}}(x)), \quad (3)$$

where $x_{\text{M}}(x)$ indicates the initial condition for the shower (the system has energy $1 - x$).

A naive attempt at matching NLO computations and MC simulations amounts to formally replacing $O(x)$ with $I_{\text{MC}}(O, x_{\text{M}}(x))$ in eq. (1):

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{dO}\right)_{\text{naive}} = \int_0^1 dx \left[I_{\text{MC}}(O, x_{\text{M}}(x)) \frac{aR(x)}{x} + I_{\text{MC}}(O, 1) \left(B + aV - \frac{aB}{x} \right) \right]. \quad (4)$$

Here, a couple of MC runs are performed, one in which the initial condition is given by the system plus a photon of energy x ($I_{\text{MC}}(O, x_{\text{M}}(x))$), and one in which there is no photon emission prior to the shower ($I_{\text{MC}}(O, 1)$); the results are then weighted with $aR(x)/x$ and $B + aV - aB/x$ respectively, as in eq. (1). Unfortunately, this does not work: the procedure in eq. (4) does have double counting. The solution proposed in ref. [1] (called *modified subtraction* there) is the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{d\sigma}{dO}\right)_{\text{msub}} = & \int_0^1 dx \left[I_{\text{MC}}(O, x_{\text{M}}(x)) \frac{a[R(x) - BQ(x)]}{x} \right. \\ & \left. + I_{\text{MC}}(O, 1) \left(B + aV + \frac{aB[Q(x) - 1]}{x} \right) \right], \quad (5) \end{aligned}$$

which is obtained from eq. (4) by subtracting and adding the quantity $I_{\text{MC}}(O, x_{\text{M}}) aBQ(x)/x$, using $x_{\text{M}} = x_{\text{M}}(x)$ in the first and $x_{\text{M}} = 1$ in the second term introduced in this way. These two terms compensate, at $\mathcal{O}(a)$, the shower contribution due to $BI_{\text{MC}}(O, 1)$, and therefore double counting does not occur. This happens because these terms coincide with the $\mathcal{O}(a)$ term in the expansion of the Sudakov (2), the two different kinematics ($x_{\text{M}}(x)$ and 1) accounting for the emission probability and for the no-branching probability. Furthermore, the weights appearing in eq. (5) are finite (this is not the case in eq. (4)), and this allows efficient unweighting.

In order to deal with the case of QCD, one has to formally translate eq. (5). This is essentially a technical task; however, there are a couple

conceptual issues which do not arise in the simple toy model. In QCD, there are two types of non-UV singularities (soft and collinear), whereas in the toy model only soft singularities appear. In the case of collinear emission from initial-state partons, the resulting kinematics is one in which one massless parton is going down the beam line with non-zero energy. Such a configuration cannot be used as an initial condition for a parton shower; however, one can always boost the system to a frame in which the emitted parton has zero energy. MC-wise, this procedure can be made efficient by a suitable redefinition of the Bjorken x 's [1]. The second problem is that, in the soft limit, the $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ term in the expansion of the MC result does not have the angular distribution expected from QCD factorization theorems, and this prevents a straightforward use of this result in the construction of the MC@NLO. However, the total amount of soft energy radiated by the MC is in agreement with QCD expectation, and this is sufficient for any infrared-safe observable to be predicted correctly.

A public version of the MC@NLO advocated in ref. [1] has been presented in ref. [2]. The production of standard model vector boson pairs (ZZ , W^+W^- , and $W^\pm Z$) in hadronic collisions has been implemented, matching the relevant NLO computations with Herwig. The code can be downloaded from <http://www.hep.phy.cam.ac.uk/theory/webber/MCatNLO>. The implementation of the hadroproduction of heavy quarks is under way [3]. Apart from its phenomenological relevance, it has to be stressed that the solution of the *technical* problems in the implementation of this process will make the implementation of any other production process in the MC@NLO a straightforward task.

It is a pleasure to thank B. Webber for numerous discussions on this and other matters.

References

- [1] S. Frixione and B. R. Webber, JHEP **0206** (2002) 029.
- [2] S. Frixione and B. R. Webber, arXiv:hep-ph/0207182.
- [3] S. Frixione, P. Nason, and B. R. Webber, in preparation.